

REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated February 27, 2004.

In the Office Action, claim 1 through 7 were deemed allowable subject to overcoming the objections in Paragraph 1 and the rejection under 35 USC 112 of paragraphs 4 through 6.

By this Amendment, Applicant has revised the claims in accordance with Examiner's suggestions of subparagraphs a through p. Accordingly, it is thought that the objections of Paragraph 1 have been overcome.

Regarding paragraphs 4 and 6, "casing" member has been replaced with "actuator" member for proper antecedence and specification support.

Regarding paragraph 5, although Applicant believes the bolt 46 provided ample support for the initial recitation, the claim has been amended for as a means plus function recitation, which is amply supported by the specification at the third paragraph of page 5.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the rejections have been overcome.

An earnest effort has been made to place this application in condition for immediate allowance by the foregoing amendments to claims 1 through 7 and notification thereof is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

MILLS LAW FIRM, PLLC



Peter D. Sachtjen, Reg. No. 24,619

Mills Law Firm, PLLC

Post Office Box 1088

Wake Forest, NC 27588-1088
(252) 413-0475